

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/749,870	12/31/2003	Shelly D. Farnham	MS1-1914US	6293	
2280 97590 04/03/2008 LIEU & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			RAYYAN, SUSAN F		
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2167		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/749.870 FARNHAM ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SUSAN FOSTER RAYYAN 2167 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.9.10 and 12-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-10,12-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/749,870

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 27, 2008 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-30 are pending.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: In claim 15: "computer readable storage memories". In claim 22: "computer readable memories". In claims 23-28: "computer-readable storage media".

Application/Control Number: 10/749,870 Page 3

Art Unit: 2167

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 16, 18, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by

US Patent Number 6,486,898 issued Jacquelyn Martino ("Martino").

As per claim 16 Martino anticipates:

displaying a first end point (Figure 5, reference number 17);

displaying components associated with the first end point (Figure 5, reference number |2):

displaying a second end point (Figure 5, reference number 18);

displaying components associated with the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I5):

displaying a common component associated with the first end point and the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I3):

Art Unit: 2167

displaying a link between the common component and the first end point (Figure 5, reference number L27);

and displaying a link between the common component and the second end point (Figure 5, reference number L28).

determining a path strength associated with the common component by, at least in part,:

determining a first link strength for the link between the common component and the first end point, determining a second link strength for the link between the common component and the second end point, and calculating the path strength based at least in part on multiplying the first link strength and the second link strength (see Figure 5, and column 4, lines 17-40, location indicates a relational distance from the reference node, Vertical and horizontal positioning is used to indicate the degrees of separation, nodes of decreasing relationship are placed to the right and down from the reference node).

As per claim 18, same as claim arguments above and Martino anticipates: displaying a second common component associated with the first end point and the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I6); displaying a link between the second common component and the first end point (Figure 5, reference number L26, L12, L27).

and displaying a link between the second common component and the second

end point (Figure 5, reference number L56, L58).

Art Unit: 2167

Claim 22 is rejected based on the same arguments as claim 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-15, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 6,832,245 issued to Ellen Isaacs et al ("Isaacs") and by US Patent Number 6,486,898 issued Jacquelyn Martino ("Martino") and of US Publication Number 2005/0086238 issued to Rocky Harry W. Nevin III ("Nevin").

As per claim 1 Isaacs teaches:

identifying components associated with a first end point in an environment (column 2, lines 41-50, as identify contacts (components)); identifying components associated with a second end point in the environment (column 2, lines 41-50, as identify contacts (components)); determining whether any of the identified components are associated with both the first end point and the second end point (column 1, lines 66-column 2, line 1, as identify priority to one another and column 5, lines 50-60);

Application/Control Number: 10/749,870
Art Unit: 2167

identifying relationships between the first end point, the second end point, and any components associated with both the first end point and the second end point (column 2, lines 60-65, as determine contact id and relationships between).

Isaacs does not explicitly teach displaying relationships by, in part, displaying ... first end point ... and the second end point. Martino does teach displaying relationships by, in part, displaying ... first end point ... and the second end point (See Figure 5: Reference Numbers I7, I2 endpoints) to facilitate a recognition of the relationship among information items. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Isaac with displaying relationships by, in part, displaying ... first end point ... and the second end point to facilitate a recognition of the relationship among information items as described by Martino (column 2, line 65-column 3, line 2).

Isaacs and Martino do not explicitly teach displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point and displaying associated information in response to a user's identification of either the first end point or the second end point Nevin does wherein displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point and displaying associated information in response to a user's identification of either the first end point or the second end point (Figure 4, paragraph 190, manipulating regions of the background with the mouse. One or more data nodes are directly 'hit', increasing

Application/Control Number: 10/749,870
Art Unit: 2167

their magnitude, and secondary nodes (those distal to a primary) and their links are affected: exactly how depends on the spread mode of the operation. Nearness to the point of view is usually a function of link-distance and magnitude, but other methods are possible, e.g. link-distance alone which display data in a simple hierarchical set of 'levels'. Details of nodes are normally suppressed, but with the 'magnifier mode' turned on, any node under the cursor presents more information. Another mode is 'warp mode', which acts like a large magnifying lens on a region of the screen. This is similar to hyperbolic viewing of networks of nodes.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Isaac further in view of Martino with displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin (paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

As per claim 2, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches: wherein the environment is a social environment (column 1, lines 57-63, as contacts may be related to social networks such as personal life and workplace).

As per claim 3, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches:

Art Unit: 2167

further comprising receiving a request to identify relationships between the first end point and the second end point (column 2, lines 45-49 and column 3, lines 9-11, as provide contact network to the user).

As per claim 4, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches: wherein determining whether any of the identified components are associated with both the first end point and the second end point includes determining a path strength for each path between the first end point and the second end point (column 4, lines 17-35, as location to indicate a relational distance from a reference node).

As per claim 5, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches: determining a path strength for each path between the first end point and the second end point and ranking the paths between the first end point and the second end point based on path strength (column 5, lines 7-16 as nodes are ordered left-to-right based on degree of separation).

As per claim 6, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches: ignoring paths having a path strength below a predetermined threshold (at paragraph 73, as presenting data related to a threshold).

As per claim 7, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

Art Unit: 2167

wherein identifying relationships includes identifying only the top ranked paths between the first end point and the second end point (See Figure 5 and column 5, lines 1-9, user adjusting the scale to accommodate the inclusion of fewer or more information items on the display).

As per claim 9, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches: wherein displaying relationships includes displaying information regarding at least one component (Figure 1, Reference Number 80: Content Display and column 3, lines 5-15 as listing of contacts).

As per claim 10, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches: wherein displaying relationships includes displaying information regarding at least one link between components (Figure 1, Reference Number 80: Content Display and column 3, lines 5-15 as listing of contacts).

As per claim 12, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches: wherein displaying relationships includes: displaying the first end point and displaying the second end point; and displaying at least one common component associated with the first end point and the second end point (See Figure 5, display endpoints and common components).

As per claim 13, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches: displaying a common component associated with the first end point and the second end point displaying at least one link between the common component and the first end point and displaying at least one link between the common

Art Unit: 2167

component and the second end point. (See Figure 5, display endpoints, common components, Links).

As per claim 14, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches: displaying the first end point, displaying the second end point, displaying components associated with the first end point and displaying components associated with the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I7), displaying the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I8) displaying components associated with the first end point (Figure 5, reference number I2) and displaying components associated with the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I5).

Claim 15 is rejected based on the same arguments as claim 1.

As per claim 29, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches; wherein the path strength is based at least in part on one or more link strengths, wherein individual link strengths are associated with a link between one or both of:

the first end point and an identified component associated with both the first end point and the second end point or the second end point and an identified component associated with both the first end point and the second end point (see Figure 5, and column 4, lines 17-40).

As per claim 30, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches: wherein one or both of identifying components associated with a first end point in

Art Unit: 2167

an environment and identifying components associated with a second end point in the environment are performed at last in part by analyzing an organizational chart (column 2, lines 45-59, as grouping of colleges, a company, an organization).

Claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 6,486,898 issued Jacquelyn Martino ("Martino") and US Publication Number 2005/0086238 issued to Rocky Harry W. Nevin III ("Nevin").

As per claim 23, Martino teaches:

display a first end point in a social network (Figure 5, reference number I7); display a second end point in a social network (Figure 5, reference number I8); identify a common component associated with the first end point and the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I3);

display the common component associated with the first end point (Figure 5, reference number I2) and the second end point (Figure 5, reference number L27);

display a link between the common component and the first end point (Figure 5, reference number L27);

and display a link between the common component and the second end point (Figure 5, reference number L28).

Martino does not explicitly teach displaying ... a social context associated with the ... end point and displaying associated information in response to a user's identification of either the first end point or the second end point Nevin

Art Unit: 2167

does teach displaying ... a social context associated with the ... end point and displaying associated information in response to a user's identification of either the first end point or the second end point (Figure 4, paragraph 190, manipulating regions of the background with the mouse. One or more data nodes are directly 'hit', increasing their magnitude, and secondary nodes (those distal to a primary) and their links are affected; exactly how depends on the spread mode of the operation. Nearness to the point of view is usually a function of linkdistance and magnitude, but other methods are possible, e.g. link-distance alone which display data in a simple hierarchical set of 'levels'. Details of nodes are normally suppressed, but with the 'magnifier mode' turned on, any node under the cursor presents more information. Another mode is 'warp mode', which acts like a large magnifying lens on a region of the screen. This is similar to hyperbolic viewing of networks of nodes.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Isaac further in view of Martino with displaying ... a social context associated with the ... end point to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin (paragraph 172, lines 3-5

As per claim 24, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches:

wherein the one or more processors further determine a path strength associated with the common component and prevent display of the common component if

Art Unit: 2167

the path strength is below a threshold (at paragraph 73, as presenting data related to a threshold).

As per claim 25, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches: wherein the one or more processors further display a second link between the common component and the first end point (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once).

As per claim 26, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches: wherein the one or more processors further display a second link between the common component and the first end point and display a second link between the common component and the second end point (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once).

As per claim 27, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches: wherein the one or more processors further identify a second common component associated with the first end point and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number I6).

As per claim 28, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/749,870
Art Unit: 2167

wherein the one or more processors further display the second common component associated with the first end point and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number I6).

Claims 17,19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino et al as applied to claims 16,23 above, and further in view of US Publication Number 2005/0086238 issued to Rocky Harry W. Nevin III ("Nevin").

As per claim 17, same as claim arguments above and Martino does not

explicitly teach teaches determining a path strength associated with the common component and and preventing the display of the common component if the path strength is below a threshold. Nevin does teach this limitation (at paragraph 73, as presenting data related to a threshold) to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Martino with determining a path strength associated with the common component and preventing the display of the common component if the path strength is below a threshold to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin (paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 19, same as claim arguments above and Martino does not explicitly teach displaying a second link between the common component and the first end point. Nevin does teach this limitation (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once) to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Martino with displaying a second link between the common component and the first end point to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin (paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

As per claim 20, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches: determining a strongest link between the common component and the first end point and highlighting the strongest link between the common component and the first end point (column 6, lines 60-61, highlighting).

As per claim 21, same as claim arguments above and Martino does not explicitly teach

displaying a second link between the common component and the first endpoint and displaying a second link between the common component and the second end point. Nevin does teach this limitation (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once) to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2167

invention was made to modify Martino with displaying a second link between the common component and the first endpoint and displaying a second link between the common component and the second end point to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin (paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed February 27, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues prior art of record does not teach calculating the path strength based at least in part on multiplying the first link strength and the second link strength. Martino does teach this at (see Figure 5, and column 4, lines 17-40, location indicates a relational distance from the reference node, Vertical and horizontal positioning is used to indicate the degrees of separation, nodes of decreasing relationship are placed to the right and down from the reference node). Martino teaches calculating the path strength based at least in part on the first link strength and the second link strength .Although Martino does not explicitly teach multiplying Martino is capable of producing the same results as the claim language.

Art Unit: 2167

Applicant argues prior art of record does not teach "displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point" and "displaying associated information in response to a user's identification of either the first end point or the second end point". Nevin does wherein displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point and displaying associated information in response to a user's identification of either the first end point or the second end point (Figure 4, paragraph 190, manipulating regions of the background with the mouse. One or more data nodes are directly 'hit', increasing their magnitude, and secondary nodes (those distal to a primary) and their links are affected: exactly how depends on the spread mode of the operation. Neamess to the point of view is usually a function of link-distance and magnitude, but other methods are possible, e.g. link-distance alone which display data in a simple hierarchical set of 'levels'. Details of nodes are normally suppressed, but with the 'magnifier mode' turned on, any node under the cursor presents more information. Another mode is 'warp mode', which acts like a large magnifying lens on a region of the screen. This is similar to hyperbolic viewing of networks of nodes.).

Applicant argues prior art of record does not teach "identifying only the top ranked paths between the end point and the second end point". Martino does teach this at Figure 5 and column 5, lines 1-9, user adjusting the scale to accommodate the inclusion of fewer or more information items on the display.

Contact Information

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan F. Rayyan whose telephone number is 571-272-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John R. Cottingham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167

Page 19

Art Unit: 2167

March 28, 2008